

NEWSFLASH BOOKLET

Visit us at www.bantacs.com.au

BAN TACS Accountants Pty Ltd

BAN TACS
Accountants
Pty Ltd is a
CPA Practice



Queensland	New South Wales	Victoria
Gold Coast PNA Level 5, Seabank Building Marine Parade Southport Qld 4215 Mail to: 98 High St, Tenterfield NSW 2372 Phone: (02) 6736 5383 Fax: (02) 6736 5655 E-mail: goldcoast@bantacs.com.au	Kiama NIA 3/114 Terralong Street, Kiama NSW 2533 Mail to: PO Box 5062 Nowra DC NSW 2541 Phone: (02) 4447 8686 Fax: (02) 4447 8169 Email: kiama@bantacs.com.au	Fitzroy CPA 151 St Georges Road, Fitzroy North VIC 3068 Mail to: PO Box 8152 Newton Vic 3220 Phone: (03) 5222 8489 Fax: (03) 5222 8489 Email: fitzroy@bantacs.com.au
Ningi CPA Shop 17A 1224 Bribie Island Rd, Ningi Qld 4511 Mail to: Location Phone: (07) 5497 6777 Fax: (07) 5497 6699 E-mail: ningi@bantacs.com.au	Nowra NIA 93 BTU Road, Nowra Hill NSW 2540 Mail to: PO Box 5062 Nowra DC NSW 2541 Phone: (02) 4447 8686 Fax: (02) 4447 8169 Email: nowra@bantacs.com.au	Geelong CPA 7 Westcott Street, Newtown Victoria 3220 Mail to: PO Box 8152 Newton Vic 3220 Phone: (03) 5222 8489 Fax: (03) 5222 8489 E-mail: geelong@bantacs.com.au
Stanthorpe PNA 37B Maryland Street, Stanthorpe Qld 4380 Mail to: 98 High St, Tenterfield NSW 2372 Phone: (07) 4681 4288 Fax: (02) 4681 4028 E-mail: stanthorpe@bantacs.com.au	Southern Highlands (Moss Vale) NIA Suite 2, No.2 Arthur Street, Moss Vale NSW 2577 Mail to: PO Box 5062 Nowra DC NSW 2541 Phone: (02) 4869 4888 E-mail: mossvale@bantacs.com.au	Highett CPA 1/487 Highett Road, Highett VIC 3190 Mail to: PO Box 8152 Newton Vic 3220 Phone: (03) 5222 8489 Fax: (03) 5222 8489 Email: highett@bantacs.com.au
Sunshine Coast CPA 1 st Floor, Cnr The Esplanade & Second Ave, Cotton Tree Q 4558 Mail to: PO Box 465, Cotton Tree Q 4558 Phone: (07) 5443 8004 Fax: (07) 5479 2202 E-mail: admin@bantacs.com.au	Tenterfield PNA 98 High Street, Tenterfield NSW 2372 Mail to: Location Phone: (02) 6736 5383 Fax: (02) 6736 5655 E-mail: tenterfield@bantacs.com.au	

Liability limited by a scheme approved under Professional Standards Legislation

HOW NOT TO BE A DEVELOPER

SUBDIVIDING THE FAMILY HOME, BUSINESS PREMISES OR FARM

Warning – Parts of this booklet have had to be re written even before it was finished due to changes in the law or ATO rulings. This booklet contains too many hot topics to remain up to date for any length of time. Section numbers and rulings are always quoted so it is not hard to check whether there has been any changes. Please make sure you ask a professional to do this for you before you act. We take no responsibility for any action you take as a result of reading this booklet as it is only a guide and professional advice on your particular circumstances is also required.

Important – This booklet is simply a collection of Newsflash articles relevant to how not to be a developer. The articles are transferred from Newsflash into this booklet so it is best read from the back page forwards to ensure you are reading the latest article on the topic first. Note that the information contained in this booklet is not updated regularly so it is important that you seek professional advice before acting on it.

CONTENTS

THE INCOME TAX ISSUES	4
CROSSING THE LINE BETWEEN CGT AND NORMAL TAX	4
SOME EXAMPLES	4
PURCHASED TO USE AS YOUR HOME:.....	4
TO RUN A BUSINESS ON:	5
TO RENT OUT DOMESTIC ACCOMMODATION ON THE LAND:	5
TO FARM:	6
BUILDING A SPEC HOME:	6
VACANT LAND:.....	6
ACTIVE ASSETS CONCESSION	7
DETERMINING WHETHER YOU PURCHASED THE PROPERTY FOR RESALE AT A PROFIT OR SOME OTHER PURPOSE	7
ARE YOU MERELY REALISING AN ASSET?	8
WHAT IF YOUR ACTIVITIES AMOUNT TO CARRYING ON A BUSINESS?	9
SUBDIVIDING PRE CGT LAND	10
GST AND SUBDIVISIONS	10
GST AND NEW OR SUBSTANTIALLY RENOVATED HOUSES	12
GST AND SALE OF PROPERTIES HELD FOR RENTAL	12
GST MARGIN SCHEME	13
PROPERTY PURCHASED AFTER 30 TH JUNE 2000:	13
PROPERTY PURCHASED BEFORE 30 TH JUNE, 2000:	13
SUMMARY	14
DON'T DO IT AGAIN	14
DEFINITION OF SUBSTANTIAL RENOVATIONS	14
BUILDING A DUPLEX WITH A FRIEND	15
REAL ESTATE DEVELOPMENT TAX TABLE	15
WARNING TO DEVELOPERS USING THE MARGIN SCHEME	15
MARGIN SCHEME AND DEVELOPERS	16
CGT ROLLOVER RELIEF WHEN BUILDING A STRATA PLAN	16
BUDGET GST MEASURE FOR DEVELOPERS	16
RENOVATING OR BUILDING AND LIVING THERE BEFORE SELLING	17

CGT ROLLOVER RELIEF WHEN BUILDING A STRATA PLAN.....	17
BUDGET GST MEASURE FOR DEVELOPERS	17
BEST QUESTION OF THE PROPERTY EXPO	18
RENTING OUT A HOUSE YOU BUILT TO SELL	18
GST AND VACANT LAND.....	19
DEMOLISHING A RENTAL COULD EXPOSE IT TO GST.....	19
GST AND TRANSFERRING PROPERTY TO A PARTNERSHIP	20
GST WHEN YOU SELL A HOUSE YOU BUILT AS A RENTAL.....	20
DEVELOPERS WHO HOLD TO RENT	21
DEVELOPERS - WHEN CAN A HOUSE GO FROM BEING TAXABLE ON REVENUE ACCOUNT TO QUALIFYING FOR THE CGT DISCOUNT?	21
GST WHEN YOU SELL A HOUSE YOU BUILT AS A RENTAL.....	22
SAVING TAX ON YOUR INVESTMENT PROPERTY – THE BOOK.....	22
ASK BAN TACS	22
BACK ISSUES & BOOKLETS.....	22

Introduction

This booklet is not for developers who regularly subdivide land. It is only intended for people who have purchased the land for some other purpose and have now decided to subdivide it. We address how to keep the whole transaction as the mere realisation of an asset and not change over to the business of developing land. If the transaction is the mere realisation of an asset the CGT provisions apply rather than normal income tax law. If you have held the property for more than 12 months being taxed under the CGT provisions will at the very least halve your tax bill. If you can retain the asset’s status as an active asset in a business you will further be able to reduce your tax bill, possibly down to zero. So read up there is some real tax savings to be made with careful planing.

There are also GST considerations in developing land regardless of whether normal income tax or CGT apply. The GST ramifications can be reduced in some cases by the use of the margin scheme.

The primary consideration in determining how you are taxed on the profits on the subdivision is what your intentions were when you purchased the land. Of course it is up to you to prove what those thoughts were. What can you expect from a tax and welfare system that is based on who you have sex with and how regularly. So watch those thoughts.

In the following reference will be made to whether a profit is capital or revenue in nature. If it is revenue it will be taxed at normal tax rates. If it is capital in nature CGT applies, so if the property has been held for more than 12 months CGT concessions will apply.

The income tax issues

There are three possible outcomes:

- 1) Merely Realising an Asset – You did not buy with intention of resale at a profit and you are just doing enough to prepare the property for sale at the best price. CGT applies
- 2) An Isolated Business Transaction – You did not buy the property with the intention of resale at a profit but to use in a business (ie Farm) then later you subdivide and sell the land but it is not in the ordinary course of the business. CGT and Possible Active Asset Concessions.
- 3) Property Development – The land is held (TD92/124A) even if not initially purchased for the purpose of resale and a business activity which involves dealing in the land has commenced. The activity of subdividing has blown out to a business activity rather than merely realising an Asset. This is not covered in this booklet other than to explain what not to do. TD92/124 was amended to change the word acquired to held which signals the ATO's opinion that even if you did not purchase the land with the intention of reselling it at a profit you can at sometime change your mind and commit it to this purpose and so be taxed at normal tax rates on the profit. Fortunately the courts have taken a very narrow view of when land purchased without the intention of resale at a profit can become part of a business and thus subject to normal income tax rates.

Crossing the line between CGT and normal tax

If you buy a property with the intention of making a profit on its resale or you at sometime commit the property to a business of selling land for profit (rather than merely realise an asset) you are in the business of trading in property. Even if you purchase a property with the intention of developing it for resale at a profit and for some reason the project is abandoned, you are still liable for normal tax on the resale of the undeveloped land.

If you did not originally buy the property with the primary purpose of resale at a profit it is important that you don't cross the line to making the property part of a business venture. You can have bought a property for some purpose other than resale at a profit but be considered by the ATO to have changed that purpose and commenced the business of development. If you do cross the line and take a property you did not purchase with the intention of resale at a profit, yet put it into a business of property development, normal tax rates will apply to the business's profit. But you will be entitled to bring the property into the business at its market value when the business commenced. This way CGT will apply to the gain up to the market value of when the business commenced. So at least you will get the CGT concessions on some of the profit. If you are going to get caught here, consider making the most of it by transferring the property to a more tax advantaged entity or person.

To not cross the line and ensure that the profit on the sale of the property is taxed under the CGT provisions it is important that your activities in preparing it for sale amount to no more than the mere realisation of an asset in the most profitable way and not the start of a business.

Some examples

The following are reasons you may have purchased the property other than for resale at a profit and a discussion on some of the tax issues:

Purchased To Use As Your Home:

This section assumes that the property is 2 hectares or less, you have owned it for more than 12 months and you have only used the property as your home up until the subdivision. If the property is larger and/or has also produced income while you were living there, you need specific advice and should read the example for farms.

The property would normally be exempt from tax because it is your main residence. But this is only if you sell it as a home. So if you cut a block off and sell it there will be no main residence exemption at all because you are not selling your home. Your exemption would remain with the block your home is on. Here is another trap, if you demolish that home and sell vacant land you will completely lose the main residence

exemption for the whole time you owned the property. To qualify for the main residence exemption there must be a dwelling on the property at time of sale, though this can be a caravan. If you sell vacant land after demolishing your home you will be up for CGT on half (assuming held for more than 12 months) the difference between the price you paid for it plus costs and improvements less the selling price. If you purchased the property after 20th August 1991 you can also reduce the gain by the cost of rates, insurance, repairs, maintenance and interest section 110-25.

If you are a builder or developer by trade and you start to cut up the family property you are more likely than other professions to be considered applying the property to a business. Other professions subdividing their own home into a small amount of lots would be very unlikely to be considered to applying the property to a business rather than merely realising an asset. Though to play it safe don't sell the blocks yourself, organise this through a Real Estate Agent.

If you are subdividing your home block and you do not normally develop land or build houses you need to limit your activities to the extent that you will not be considered in the business of developing the land. If you simply apply to council for approval of the subdivision, and sell your home together with the land up to 2 hectares, to a developer you will not pay a cent in tax because of your main residence exemption. If you cut the land up yourself, you are starting to get involved in development activities and you start to cross over to business operations. You will be subject to tax on each block you sell other than the one with your home on it, but the tax will be at least half if you can stay within the CGT provisions because you are merely realising an asset rather than starting a business operation. More detail on this is in the section Are You Merely Realising an Asset? You should be safe if you simply do nothing more than what the council requires under the conditions of the subdivision (ie water, roads) and then engage a real estate agent to market the lots for you.

To Run a Business On:

This is the best outcome as with careful planning the gain can be completely tax free if it qualifies for the capital gains tax small business concessions. On this basis it would be a major tax blunder to take the development too far or be found to have purchased the land for resale at a profit or have transferred the property into a business of developing land and end up being taxed on a revenue basis. Farms are discussed later, this section is devoted to business premises that are later subdivided.

To qualify for the small business concessions you need to have a turnover of less than \$2 million or your's and your associates' assets to be less than \$6 million and in some cases satisfy a controlling individual test. The following only addresses the ramifications for the land and buildings not the plant and equipment on it.

The property also needs to pass the active asset test. The property must be used at least half of the time it was owned or 7 ½ years whichever is the shortest period. A property would not be an active asset if it was used to derive rental income unless the rent was received from an associated (common ownership) business. For more details on how the active asset rules work refer to the section titled Active Assets Concessions.

To Rent Out Domestic Accommodation on the Land:

If you buy land with the intention of building a home on it to rent, when you eventually sell, the profits on the sale are a capital gain and subject to the 50% CGT discount if it is more than 12 months between the time you agreed to purchase the land and the time you agreed to sell the house and land.

Steele's case created the precedent that interest can be claimed as a tax deduction while you hold land with the intention of building a rental property on it.

Be careful here if you sell a home you built, without first using it as a rental for at least 5 years GST will apply to the sale if you are already registered for GST in the enterprise that owns the home. . If you are not registered for GST the sale of a new rental property in less than 5 years will not force you to be registered providing of course you can prove that you built the property to rent not to profit from its resale. This is a little known point so here are the references:

Section 23-5 states that if the annual turnover of supplies you make in the normal course of your enterprise, exceed \$75,000 you must register for GST.

Section 185-25 excludes from the calculation of annual turnover the supply of a capital asset. Building the property for rental then selling, is the supply of a capital asset and not included in the annual turnover.

Section 118-15 excludes from annual turnover input taxed supplies so any domestic rent received is not included in annual turnover.

To Farm:

Just being a farmer does not automatically mean developing the land is capital rather than revenue in nature. In *Crow v FC of T* 88 ATC 4620 the profit a farmer received on selling 51 portions of land was assessable as revenue because his intention when buying the property was to make a profit.

Out of all possible reasons for purchasing a property you are subdividing, using it as a farm or business is the most tax advantageous. If you can convince the ATO that you purchased the property to farm, not to subdivide you may be able to eliminate all tax from the transaction by using the active asset concessions. These are discussed in more detail in the section on active assets. The best concession is the 15 year exemption. If you purchased the property over 15 years ago, farmed it for at least half the period of ownership or 7 ½ years and the development is not so elaborate that you are considered to be in the business of developing land, you don't need to pay any CGT.

Another advantage of this method is you do not have to offset the gain against your capital losses first so they are still there for your future benefit. The owner or owners must also retire (a state of mind) then the profits are completely tax free.

If you miss out of the 15 years do not despair, a careful combination of the remaining small business exemptions will give you almost as good a result. For example combine the retirement exemption with the 50% CGT discount and the 50% active asset discount and you will pay no tax but 25% of the profit may need to be contributed to super if you are under 55 years of age. This will not be taxed in the hands of the superannuation fund.

Most farmers would already be registered for GST for the farming enterprise so they will have to charge GST when they sell the lots. Read the section on the margin scheme to minimise the impact of GST. If you were not registered for GST when farming, you need to make sure you do not fit the definition of enterprise when developing the blocks. In other words don't be too business like.

An idea may be to reduce the farming business down to where it has a turnover of less than \$75,000 so the farmer can de register for GST, but still be in business so be entitled to the active asset concessions outlined above. You could also consider ceasing the business in order to deregister.

There are further concessions that allow farmers to sell their farm as a going concern or to an associate or another farmer with no GST being applicable.

Building a Spec Home:

GSTR2003/3 states at paragraph 10 "The sale of new residential premises by a registered entity in the course or furtherance of an enterprise it carries on, is a taxable supply for GST purposes." Unlike the rental property situation discussed above if you build a spec home its sale is part of your normal business turnover so it will cause you to be registered for GST. Section 9-20(1) (b) includes as an enterprise an adventure or concern in the nature of trade. An adventure or concern may be a one-off transaction that is not a continuous business but it must have business like characteristics to be caught. So if you did not build the property to sell, but only to rent, then when you sell it, it is not part of a normal sale in your enterprise of rental properties and as such does not force your taxable supplies over \$75,000, if all you are involved in is domestic rentals, you are not required to be registered for GST. Even though the sale of the house would be the first sale of a new residence and therefore subject to GST you are not registered for GST so you are not caught.

If you buy land with the intention of building a home on it to sell then the proceeds of the sale are normal business income, the 50% CGT discount is not available to you and GST will apply. You are entitled to claim GST credits for the cost of building the home and purchasing the land if it was not purchased under the margin scheme. As your buyer is unlikely to be in the business of buying and selling houses they will not be able to claim the GST back.

Vacant Land:

TD 92/127 & TD 92/126 - if a property is acquired for development, subdivision and resale at a profit but the development is abandoned and the land is sold the sale is still in the business of property development so the proceeds taxable as normal income. This is the case if the land is as an isolated transaction or part of a property development business because it was purchased for development or subdivision.

On the other hand if land is purchased with the intention of building a house, farming it or constructing business premises CGT applies to the sale proceeds. The only problem being proving that your intention was

not profit making by sale despite the fact you never carried out the activities you intended. This situation gets worse if you develop or improve the land in some way before selling.

If you purchased the land for use in a business and you actually used it even though it remained vacant land (ie parked trucks on it) you may be able to benefit from the Active Asset CGT concessions discussed later.

Active assets concession

This is only applicable if the land was not purchased with the intention of resale at a profit, it was owned by a business or an associate of a business and was actually used in the business,

If you farmed the land or used it in a business utilising the active asset rules, in section 152 can take your tax payable down to zero.

Before any of the following apply you will need your assets to be less than \$6 million or have a turnover of less than \$2 million. The property must also be an Active Asset (Section 152) that is used in the business for at least half of the time it was owned or 7 ½ years and if the business ceases it must be sold within 12 months of the business ceasing though the ATO has some discretion here. The property would not be an active asset if it was used to derive rental income, unless the rent was received from an associate that used it in a business. The following only addresses the ramifications for the land not the plant and equipment on it. .

- a) If you purchased the property over 15 years ago, are over 55, retire when it is sold, have farmed or used it in a business for at least 7.5 years and the development is not so elaborate that you are considered to be in the business of developing land, you are home and hosed you don't need to pay any CGT. Another advantage of the 15 year concession is you do not have to offset the gain against your capital losses first so they are still there for your future benefit. The owner or owners must retire (a state of mind). This would certainly be worth it for the profits to be completely tax free.
- b) If you miss out on the 15 years do not despair a careful combination of the remaining small business exemptions will give you almost as good a result. For example combine the retirement exemption with the 50% CGT discount and the 50% active asset discount and you will pay no tax but 25% of the profit may need to be contributed to superannuation if you are under 55 years of age. Despite its name you do not need to retire to utilise the retirement exemption. Assume the gain on the property was \$100,000 the 50% CGT discount would reduce this to \$50,000 and the 50% active asset discount would further reduce this to \$25,000. Placing the remaining \$25,000 into superannuation would mean that the whole \$100,000 is received tax free. The \$25,000 is not taxed in the hands of the superannuation fund but you will have to be at least 55 before you can touch it. Companies are not entitled to the 50% CGT Discount and the use of the 50% Active Asset Discount creates problems when the asset is owned by a Company. In Discretionary Trusts the CGT flows through to the beneficiaries so is treated the same as an individual.

All the benefits in a) and b) above are only available if you stay within the CGT provisions rather than enter into the business of property developing. As these effectively mean that you will pay no tax on the profit it is worth dotting your i's and crossing your t's. Also read the section on *Merely Realising An Asset*. Make sure you get professional advice before you act.

Determining whether you purchased the property for resale at a profit or some other purpose

Good stuff, this keeps the lawyers in their BMWs. It is simply a question of fact what your intentions were but the circumstances surrounding the events must support your claims.

Whether you purchased the property for resale at a profit is a question of your state of mind at the time. The trouble is proving that was your state of mind. In Case R25 84 ATC 224 a group of taxpayers bought land to resell at a profit, which they did 13 years later. One of the taxpayers argued that his intention was to build a factory on the land not re sell it. The court did not accept this. Be careful to have evidence of a well researched viable use for the land other than development. You will also need to have a reason for selling that doesn't contradict your original intention.

It is very difficult if you are a builder to claim that you purchased a property with an intention other than developing it and reselling, especially if you build a spec home. In case R51 84 ATC 392 a builder who built a block of flats and leased them to tenants for 6 years was still assessed as revenue on the profit on the sale. TD 92/135 states that even if a builder builds a home as a spec but then decides to live in it he or she is not entitled to the main residence exemption or the 50% CGT discount because it was built with a profit making motive so is taxed as normal business income.

If you inherited the property or received it as a gift you are in a good position to argue that you did not acquire it with the intention of resale. Careful, artificially organising this has lots of other problems not least of which in the case of inheriting is possible murder charges. Gifts between husband and wife are unlikely to have a purpose other than a tax benefit, due to the mutuality of marriage, so will be caught by Part IVA or will simply be considered that whatever purpose the original spouse purchased for applies to the spouse receiving the gift.

Are you merely realising an asset?

Even if you can pass the test that you did not buy the property with the intention of resale at a profit, you still need to be careful not to cross the line of later applying that property for a business making purpose. If you are considered to have changed the property from some non business purpose to the business of selling it for a profit you will be taxed as normal income on any profit made between the market value at the time of change of purpose and eventual selling price. You will also be up for capital gains tax on the capital gain made on the market value at the time the property became part of the business of property development. Accordingly, the property will be introduced to the business as a cost at the market value at the time. The actual calculation of the tax is far more complex than this if you do not change entities when committing the land to development. It is also date sensitive and is affected by whether the property is an isolated transaction or trading stock of a developer. Details are not included here as it is not within the scope of this booklet. This is just a warning not to crunch your numbers without having a professional calculate the tax considerations. The actual committing of the land to a business or the subdivision do not trigger a CGT event ie generate a tax liability. So the CGT is not payable until the land is actually sold. But if you transfer the land to another entity for development CGT will be payable in the year of transfer.

If you want to be completely sure the transaction will only be treated as a mere realisation of an asset and you can prove the purchase was not for profit making by resale, don't turn a grain of soil until you have received council approval for the sub division and then sell the land for its market value to another entity. Note if you take this line of action the new entity will have buckly's of arguing it was merely realising an asset and will pay full tax on all future profits because they are revenue in nature. Professional advice should be sought on the nature of this new entity to minimise the tax consequences, depending on your particular circumstances. If the council require you to undertake work before the subdivision will be approved you have a real problem getting the market value to a decent figure. In Case W59 89 ATC 538 it was decided that the property became part of the business when these works started so market value at this time could not include the fact it was subdividable but there is hope in TD 97/1 which says the market value should include the highest and best use including the potential of consent being given for subdivision.

Factors that suggest you are merely realising an asset rather than operating a business include:

- 1) Whether there is another valid purpose such as rental or farming that was viable at the time and that this was actually carried out.
- 2) How quickly you resell the property. The longer you own the property the more likely it is that you held it as an investment rather than for use in the business of property development.
- 3) A valid reason for changing the use of the land ie being too ill to continue to farm it.
- 4) Attempts to sell the land undeveloped that had failed.
- 5) The owner of the property is not a developer, real estate agent or builder by occupation.
- 6) Don't go transferring the property to a new entity for the development.
- 7) Many factors inherent in the development itself such as:
 - a) The significance of the development costs compared with the value of the undeveloped land. The more money borrowed to finance the development the more likely it is to be a business.
 - b) The size of the development.
 - c) The business like nature of the activities, avoid letterheads and employing staff.

- d) How involved the land owner is in the process. For example the difference between Cassimaty's case and Stevenson's case (refer below) could be summed up by the fact that Stevenson made the mistake of being actively involved in selling the lots where as Cassimaty left the job to a real estate agent.
- e) The more stages the development is done in the more it will appear to be a business. This can be countered by developing on the basis of need to repay debt or finance living expenses.
- f) The amount of works required for the land to be subdivided. To this end it may be more advantageous to pay a contribution to council to put in kerb and channelling rather than undertake the work yourself. Or paying a developer to take responsibility for all of the works.
- g) Don't quit your day job.
- h) Don't estoppel yourself by trying to claim a tax deduction for expenses such as interest and rates while the development is taking place.

Examples of the points made above can be found in:

Stevenson v Com. of Taxation 1991 29 FCR 282 – Profit on Subdivision of Farm Taxed as Normal Income
 446 acre farm owned by family since 1904. 26 acre single block sold 1965. 360 acre block sold 1971. Another 35 acres sold when taxpayer reached 70. The balance was later subdivided and attempts made to sell it with development potential. Council required water and Sewerage. 180 blocks subdivided finance by considerable borrowings. Development done in 8 stages. The taxpayer was very involved in the development right down to selling the land himself rather than appointing an agent. The taxpayer had no previous development experience.

George Casimaty v Com. of Taxation 1997 1388 FCA 10-12-97 – Profit on Subdivision of Farm Capital
 Purchased 988 acre farm from father in 1955 and father forgave obligation to pay for the land. 1956 purchased 40 adjoining acres to build a house. 1963 could not sell the property for enough to cover debts. In 1965 diary farming became uneconomical. 1967 to 1969 drought effected. 1972 tried to sell the whole property to state housing department. Rural market depressed so continued farming. Ill-health and high interest payments forced taxpayer to sell off 3 lots in 1975. More financial problems lead to second subdivision of 10 lots in 1977 for which Council required roads, water and fencing. More financial problems in 1983 so 9 lots subdivided included water, roads and fencing. 1988 13 lots roads plus gift of 2 hectares to son. Council required roads, water, fencing and draining a creek. 1992 16 lots water, fencing and roads. 1993 19 lots. Ill-health suffered from early 1970s but continued to live on the property and farm it a third remained un-subdivided. Properties were sold by a real estate agent.

ID 2002/483 – Is an interesting example of when the ATO wanted to argue the other way because the property was sold at a loss. The taxpayer's spouse completed a Real Estate course and they claimed that the property was purchased for development. Meetings were held with real estate agents and project builders but the local council was not consulted regarding any restrictions effecting the viability of the project nor was a costing analysis done before purchase. When the costing was done afterwards it indicated the project was not going to be profitable so the taxpayer sold the land unimproved. The ATO concluded a profit motive could not be readily drawn from the facts and that the project was approached in a haphazard way with little activity from the taxpayer.

What if your activities amount to carrying on a business?

If you didn't initially purchase the property with the intention of resale at a profit but now feel that your activities go beyond the mere realisation of an asset, you need to get professional advice. It is important that you get this advice as soon as you feel there may be a chance you will go beyond merely realising an asset. There are strategies that can reduce the tax consequences. Usually this involves selling the property to a new entity before you go beyond merely realising and asset. But the advantages of changing structure need to be weight up against the stamp duty expense.

The cheapest and most straight forward method is to transfer the property to low income family members. Note transferring to just one member may mean they are pushed into a maximum tax bracket by just a few sales in one year. There is also concern regarding retaining control of the property, the possibility of this person being sued and their level of debt. Both of these problems can be solved by the use of a discretionary trust that can choose who receives the profits each year.

Do not transfer the property into an established entity that may have problems. For example putting the property in to a company that is already trading will leave the property vulnerable if someone sues the company regarding the already existing business.

A company may be the answer due to its tax rate of 30% but when you take the money out of the company you will have to top it up to your marginal rate. Do not use a company if there is a chance that any of the activities will qualify for CGT rather than normal income tax as companies do not get the 50% CGT discount.

Superannuation funds only pay 15% tax on income and 10% on capital gains. Once the member is over 60 and the fund in pension stage the tax is zero. But a superannuation fund can only borrow in limited circumstances and can only purchase business real property from an associate. Superannuation funds are not allowed to run a business so if you are doing this because the activity is becoming business like, odds are the superannuation fund won't be allowed to do it any way. Though a joint venture structure may overcome these problems.

Subdividing pre CGT land

Assuming the profit on the sale is capital in nature, no tax should be payable on the sale of the land because it was purchased before 20th September, 1985. Section 108-70 states that improvements to pre CGT land will be considered a separate asset from the land if they exceed the threshold and exceed 5% of the capital proceeds. In 2004 the threshold was \$104,377, it is indexed each financial year. Improvements can include most development costs including removal of items from the land. In TD5 the ATO states that improvements that do not actually touch the land such as council fees for re zoning are included. In ID 2002/387 the ATO state that the threshold and 5% test apply to each individual block sold so it is unlikely that development costs will trigger a separate asset from the land that is post CGT so subject the CGT. If you put building or structure on pre CGT land it is automatically considered a separate asset from the land regardless of its cost, section 108-55(2).

GST and subdivisions

GST should also be taken very seriously when doing your costing as GST is not a tax on the profit but 1/11th of the selling price which may well be all your profit though you will be allowed input credits.

MT 2006/1 is the ATO ruling on this topic and the short answer is, GST is unlikely to apply if the subdivision is not considered to be a business of developing property and the entity that owns the property is not already registered for GST.

Section 38 (1) of the GST Legislation states that; **an activity, or series of activities** done in the form of a business or in the form of an adventure or concern in the nature of trade, would be a taxable supply, unless specifically exempt. So, a one off subdivision of land, if done in a business like manner, can be subject to GST. This means that the sale of the blocks is part of the business's turnover and as that is more than \$75,000 pa you are required to be registered for GST and charge GST on each block.

While a one off transaction in the nature of a business can be caught by GST, the mere realisation of an investment asset is not subject to GST.

The first sale of a house and land is subject to GST if it happens within its first 5 years and the owner is registered for GST. But if the owner is not in the business of building or developing, the property is not part of the normal turnover so it will not push their taxable turnover over \$75,000 so will not force them to be registered. If your turnover is bound to exceed \$75,000 you must register for GST and charge it on each block but the sale of the blocks is only included as part of your turnover if you are considered to be in the business of developing or building houses. If your turnover for the year is only domestic rental income which is not a taxable supply the sale of the rental property is not in the normal course of your business so your taxable turnover is under \$75,000 and you do not have to register for GST or charge GST on the sale, even if the house is less than 5 years old.

When You are Caught for GST:

If you are already registered for GST because the taxable turnover of the business entity that owns the land exceeds \$75,000 you cannot avoid the GST. If you develop the property to such an extent that you place buildings on the land you will be subject to GST on the blocks. If you purchased the property with the intention of subdividing right at the start you will also be subject to GST.

Factors that suggest you did not buy the property with the intention of developing it.

- 1) Whether there is another valid purpose such as rental or farming that was viable at the time and that this was actually carried out.
- 2) The length of time you own the property.
- 3) A valid reason for changing the use of the land ie being too ill to continue to farm it.
- 4) Attempts to sell the land undeveloped that had failed.
- 5) The owner of the property is not a developer, real estate agent or builder by occupation.

GST will always apply if you build on the land to sell. MT 2006/1 at paragraphs 273 to 276 gives an example where a taxpayer buys a waterfront property to build a duplex and live in one side and sell the other. GST applied. In paragraph 284 to 287 a couple who demolish their home split the land in half and build two new homes to sell are subject to GST. In paragraph 294 to 296 a subdivision of 9 lots, without buildings and utilising an existing road was not subject to GST.

The area of uncertainty is when you subdivide land into vacant lots, that has been used as part of your farm, home or rental property. The subdivision of such properties can be considered the mere realisation of an asset (not subject to GST) by presenting it for sale under the best possible circumstances rather than be in a business that is subject to GST. If you are personally registered for GST in a completely different business and you are subdividing land unconnected with the business you may still not have to charge GST if you can fit within the following

It is all about how business like the subdivision is. For example GST would not apply to a cutting in half of a 2.5 hectare block because the owners, who live there, are having trouble maintaining it. They continue to live in the house and just sold off the vacant land. The only thing they needed to do was apply to council, no physical changes needed to happen to the land. On the other end of the scale GST would apply to the subdivision of a 500 hectare property, on which the owner was not living, into over 30 lots where roads and services are a major work done in a business like fashion.

MT 2006/1 relies on established case law to determine when a development would be caught as a business for income tax purposes. So the points made back under the heading of Are You Merely Realising An Asset apply. The following factors are relevant in considering if you have crossed the line to being a business. Though several of these elements are usually necessary to be considered a business

As per MT 2006/1 paragraph 265 –

- 1) There is a change of purpose for which the land is held (ie you move off the land to subdivide rather than just subdivide part of it)
- 2) Additional land is acquired to be added to the original parcel of land
- 3) The land is bought into account as a business asset
- 4) There is a coherent plan for the subdivision of the land
- 5) There is a business organisation – for example a manager, office and letterhead
- 6) Funds are borrowed to finance the acquisition or subdivision
- 7) Interest on loans claimed as a business expense
- 8) The land is developed beyond what was necessary to secure Council approval for the subdivision, and
- 9) Buildings are erected on the land (fatal)

Other Factors from Income tax law that point to the business of property development

- 1) The significance of the development costs compared with the value of the undeveloped land and the more money borrowed to finance the development the more likely it is to be a business.
- 2) The size of the development.
- 3) How involved the land owner is in the process, so don't give up your day job.
- 4) The more stages to the development the more it will appear to be a business. This can be countered by developing on the basis of need to repay debt or finance living expenses.
- 5) The amount of works required for the land to be subdivided. To this end it may be more advantageous to pay a contribution to council to put in kerb and channelling rather than undertake the work yourself. Or pay a developer to take responsibility for all of the works.

In paragraphs 297 to 302 of MT 2006/1 a 13 lot subdivision the first time and then a further 2 subdivisions were still not subject to GST because of the unprofessional process, the fact the owners continued to live on 70% of the land and the minimal works.

GST and new or substantially renovated houses

The first sale of a new house is always subject to GST if the seller is registered for GST. If the house was built with the intention of resale at a profit then the seller must be registered for GST as the sale is part of the business turnover and that would be more than \$75,000. If it was built to live in or rent out the seller would not be required to be registered for GST so when it is sold GST does not apply simply because the seller is not registered.

No GST on sale means no input credit for building costs. Even if the house hasn't been finished for 12 months at the time of sale you will still qualify for the 50% CGT discount on the profit on sale if you have held the land for more than 12 months. All this is only if you built the house for the purpose of rental not resale at a profit. Section 40-65 states even if new residential premises are rented for a period prior to the sale the first sale will still be a taxable supply. Section 40-75 states that premises are not new if they have been used as residential premises for at least 5 years.

Note if you are builder you will have two things working against you. Firstly, it will be hard to argue that you did not build it for resale refer Case R51 84 ATC 392 where a builder was found to have built a block of flats with the intention to profit on their resale. Even though the flats were not sold until 6 years after they were built. Secondly you own the property in your own name and are in business as a sole trader you are probably already registered for GST, the only way the sale could be excluded from the GST provisions is to argue that it was not purchased in the furtherance of your business. This would be impossible if you have been claiming input credits on the building costs.

Now if you did not build the house for resale at a profit and are not registered or required to be registered for GST you can sell the house without any GST concerns. If you build the house for resale at a profit you are required to be registered for GST. If you did not build for resale at a profit but you are registered for GST and the sale of the property is part of your enterprise even if it is not part of your enterprise's normal turnover, you will have to rent the property out for 5 continuous years or pay GST on 1/11th of the sale price (less if margin scheme applies). Continuity of the 5 years is not broken by short periods between tenants GSTR 2003/3.

Note if you substantially renovate a property it may be treated as a new property.

If you are caught for GST and have used the property partly for rental and partly for resale at a profit you will be entitled to claim back most of the input credits on the cost of the property but you are subject to GST on the proceeds of the sale. The margin scheme can help here refer below. In the Property and Construction Industry Partnership Issues Register item number 4 the ATO has agreed to pro rata the input credits on the basis of income received. The formula for apportioning input credits between the taxable supply of the home and the input taxed supply of rental accommodation is as follows:

Consideration for the taxable supply of the premises

Consideration for the taxable supply of the premises plus rental income

GST and sale of properties held for rental

Even holding domestic rental properties is considered an enterprise and qualifies for an ABN but normally landlords don't bother as they are not required to charge GST on rent on residential properties. So even if their turnover is more than \$75,000 it is not for supplies to which GST applies to so they are not required to be registered. The eventual sale of the rental property will generate more than \$75,000 but this is not included as part of the \$75,000 normal turnover unless you are in the business of selling rental properties. So if you are just a normal investor in domestic rental properties your turnover of GST supplies in the course of your business is never likely to exceed \$75,000. The mere realisation of an investment does not amount to an enterprise in its own right.

Even though the sale of the property is for more than \$75,000 it is not part of your turnover so will not force you to be registered for GST. If you are not registered for GST, you will not have to remit GST on the sale of a rental property. If you are registered for GST the sale of a domestic rental property will still not be subject to GST providing it is not considered the sale of a new home. Refer above.

Landlords are required to charge GST on rent for commercial premises if they are registered for GST. They are required to be registered for GST if their commercial rents for the year exceed \$75,000. Now the \$75,000 is in turnover so it doesn't include the sale of capital assets but if you are registered for GST when you sell a commercial property you are required to remit 1/11th of the selling price in GST (subject to the margin scheme).

GST margin scheme

Basics:

- The margin scheme can only be used with a house and land or land. If the seller is registered for GST the margin scheme can only be used where the property was owned pre 30th June 2000 or purchased under the margin scheme. Or if the seller, who is registered for GST purchased the property from someone who was not registered for GST
- The margin is the amount of the selling price on which GST is calculated.
- The purchaser of a property under the margin scheme cannot claim any GST input credits back on the purchase price. But if they are registered for GST they can claim GST input credits for further expenditure on the property.
- On 17th March 2005 a bill was introduced to Parliament that will require a written agreement signed by both parties on or before settlement for the sale to be subject the margin scheme.

Property Purchased After 30th June 2000:

- If you are selling a property you purchased after 30th June 2000 and the price you paid was calculated under the margin scheme section 75-5 allows you to only charge GST on the difference between the selling price and the cost to you of the asset that was measured under the margin scheme. Note any improvements to the asset after purchase do not reduce the margin. For example a GST registered developer buys land for \$66,000 from someone who is not registered for GST. The developer spends \$100,000 (after claiming back input credits) putting a building on the land and sells the property for \$200,000. If the GST on the sale is calculated under the margin scheme it will be 1/11th of \$134,000 (\$200,000 - \$66,000). On 17th March 2005 a bill was introduced to Parliament to make it clear the margin must include the cost of improvements and to stop abuses of this area.
- Note there is one dubious exception to the above ID 2002/30 states that the price you paid for the property, as calculated under section 75-10 (i.e. the portion that is not subject to GST when you sell) includes any adjustments for land tax or council rates you may have paid at settlement. But ID 2002/31 states that this does not include legal fees.
- You cannot use the market value to set the cost under the margin scheme if you purchased the property after 30th June 2000. This is the case even if you did not register for GST until after the purchase

Property Purchased Before 30th June, 2000:

- If you owned a property before 30th June, 2000 you need to set the amount that is not subject to the margin scheme. This is the value of it at 30th June, 2000 or when you first became registered for GST, whichever is the latter.
- GSTR 2000/21 details how to set the value as at 30th June, 2000 or when you first became registered but note this is only for property held before 30th June, 2000.
- If the property is fully complete the value can be set by a qualified valuer or the amount set by the government for land tax or council rates purposes.
- If the property is being developed at the time you require the valuation you can use a value set by a qualified valuer or use the cost of completion method.

- The cost of completion method determines what percentage of the total costs the costs that have been incorporated into the project at the date of valuation are. It is this percentage of the selling price that is not subject to GST. Note this method can only be used if the property is sold before 1st July, 2005 and owned by you before 1st July, 2000.

Summary

- 1) If you buy with the intention to resell at a profit normal income tax and GST apply
- 2) If you are merely realising an asset purchased for investment or private use CGT applies to the sale
- 3) Business premises or farms may be able to keep the whole transaction tax free by using the active asset concessions. This involves careful planning before you even start.

Don't do it again

If you have got through the above and feel you can satisfy the ATO that you are merely realising an asset rather than a business, don't do it again. The ATO has the benefit of hindsight and may say that because you did it a second time you always intended to develop property and that was really your intention in the first place. Or at least wait 4 years from the last tax return involving the first development so the ATO can't come back and amend it but don't expect to get away with it for the second property. At least if you wait the 4 years you have nothing to lose.

Definition of Substantial Renovations

If you are registered for GST and sell a new house or substantially renovated house for the first time you must charge GST. If you did not acquire the house with the intention of reselling it at a profit (ie you acquired it as a rental or to live in) the sale of the house is not part of your normal business turnover so it will not force you to register for GST if you aren't already.

There is a concession, in that if you continuously rent out a property for more than 5 years and then sell it as the first sale of a new or substantially renovated property you do not have to charge GST even if you are registered. In the case of a renovated property the 5 years starts from the last substantial renovation.

People who buy a property with the intention of doing it up and selling it, are considered in business so, if their turnover of sales subject to GST is more than \$75,000 they will be forced to register. This is where it is particularly important to know what a substantial renovation is. If it is a substantial renovation and you bought it with the intention of reselling it at a profit then the sale of the house is part of your normal turnover so you will have to register for GST and charge GST on that sale. But if the next property you renovate is not substantially renovated, even though you are already registered you will not have to charge GST because it is not considered the sale of a new or substantially renovated home, so it is input taxed. This means you cannot claim input credits on the costs associated with it and do not have to charge GST.

If you did not buy the property with the intention of resale at a profit and you are not registered for GST then you do not have to worry about this no matter how substantial your renovations to the house are.

If you renovate properties for profit it is important you understand exactly what a substantial renovation is. GSTR 2003/3 states that a substantial renovation does not have to be structural but it needs to substantially affect the house, so just about every area of the house must be affected. Of course this could simply be the case if you painted it inside and out. But painting is only cosmetic so cannot in itself be a substantial renovation. It is a question of whether a substantial part of the house has been removed or replaced. It gives as an example of a renovation that is not substantial, the removal and replacement of a kitchen and bathroom as well as repainting the whole house.

Replacing the floor boards, electrical wiring or plumbing in a property is dangerous because it usually affects every room in the house and is not merely cosmetic. Moving or replacing walls can have the same effect but only if enough walls are changed that most of the rooms in the house are affected. A combination

of various forms of non cosmetic changes that combined, manage to affect every room in the house will be a substantial renovation. Cosmetic work such as painting, sanding floors, changing fittings, curtains and carpet do not in themselves amount to substantial renovations even if they affect every room. Further, cosmetic changes to every room and substantial changes to only a few of the rooms will not amount to substantial renovations because the cosmetic changes are disregarded in considering if all the rooms are affected.

Building a Duplex with a Friend

What are the CGT consequences of buying a piece of land as tenants in common with a friend on which you build two homes under separate titles so you can have one each? PBR 30342 looks at a rather more complex situation but answers this question quite well.

Two relatives buy a house together as tenants in common. Eventually they build two houses on the property and subdivide the title so that they can independently own a house each. The catch is the ATO sees this as each relative transferring their share of the other's house. So they are each up for CGT on the gain on half the other's house. **Note** that if the properties are strata planned section 118-142 may allow rollover relief.

Real Estate Development Tax Table

The following table is intended to give the reader, at glance, an idea of the tax consequences of developing Real Estate.

Situation	CGT 50% Disc After 12mths	GST Applies Unless Rental For >5years	GST Applies	Normal Income
Buy with the Intention of Selling for Profit (if this applies go no further)			x	x
Buy as a domestic rental and no real changes	x			
Buy as a domestic rental and add 2 rooms	x			
Buy as domestic rental but do substantial reno	x	x		
Buy Land & Build Domestic Rental (Not GST Reg)	x			
Buy Land & Build Domestic Rental (GST Registered)	x	x		
Rental then small Sub & sell blocks (Not GST Reg)	x			
Rental then small Subdivision & sell blocks (GST Reg)	x		x	
Buy as Rental later Subdivide & Build houses to rent	x	x		
Buy as Rental later Subdivide & Build Houses to sell	x		x	x
Rental later large Business like Subdivision sell blocks	x		x	x

Where both CGT 50% discount and normal income apply the CGT discount only applies to the gain up until the time of the change of use.

Warning to Developers Using the Margin Scheme

In Brady King Pty. Ltd. vs Commissioner of Taxation the ATO won more than it wanted to. The question before the court was could a property where the contract to purchase it was entered into before 1st July, 2000 (the introduction of GST) but not settled on until after that date utilise the valuation method under the margin scheme. The workings of the margin scheme are fully covered in our How not to be a Developer booklet.

Brady King P/L used the property, an old office building, to construct apartments under a strata plan title. The court found that because the identity of the property had changed since the original purchase (from a normal title to strata title) the margin scheme was not available to the developer.

This could have far reaching affects in that all developers of strata plan units will not be able to use the margin scheme. Of course the developers are not going to absorb these costs so it will be the over stretched first home owner market that will bear the brunt of this little win fall for the ATO.

In fairness to the ATO they didn't want this wide an outcome either and they are hoping Brady King Pty. Ltd. will appeal the decision.

Margin Scheme and Developers

For those that have been following the Brady King Case, concerned that every strata plan and subdivision will no longer be able to use the margin scheme you will be as relieved as the ATO that the taxpayer has decided to appeal. The decision, it is still up to the courts to decide but it seems if the court again goes so far as to say that the margin scheme cannot be used when the title has changed then the ATO may ask the government to change the law to allow developers to use the margin scheme.

CGT Rollover Relief When Building a Strata Plan

If you build a duplex or block of units with a business partner you will effectively own all the properties together under the same legal title as you did the original land. This can create a CGT nightmare if you want to own your units individually.

For example you and a friend find a nice block of land that is far too expensive for either of you. But it is large enough to be approved by Council for a duplex development. So you agree to buy the land together build a duplex and then take one each. The trouble is once the duplex is built you will still technically own half of each other's unit just as you had owned half of the land and if you simply subdivided the land and changed the title to sole ownership you would create a CGT event in that you would be deemed to have sold each other at market value half of their unit. On the other hand if you split the duplex under a strata plan you would be entitled to use the rollover relief available under section 118-42 so that no CGT would be payable.

Section 118-42 does not discuss these particular circumstances in fact it is very basic, as follows:

If:

- a) You own land on which there is a building and
- b) You subdivide the building into stratum units and
- c) You transfer each unit to the entity who had the right to occupy it just before the subdivision

A capital gain or capital loss you make from transferring the unit is disregarded.

To your advantage is the fact PBR 17485 specifically discusses duplex and claims that section 118-42 can be used in these circumstances.

Note that PBRs are not binding on the ATO so if you want to be sure you should apply for your own ruling quoting PBR 17485

Budget GST Measure for Developers

The budget included a warning that GST law would be tightened to reduce the tax benefits of combining the going concern or non taxable supply exemptions with the margin scheme. This should not take affect until the new law receives royal accent, so there is a bit of time yet. But when it does become law it is important that developers realise if they purchase land from someone who is registered for GST but the sale is not subject to GST they need to find out the original price paid by the vendor because this will be the new purchaser's base for the purposes of the margin scheme.

For those not familiar with the workings of the margin scheme, it is intended to prevent the ATO from collecting tax on a profit that was exempt from GST in the hands of the previous owner. So if for example a developer purchased a property from someone not registered for GST for \$100,000 and then sold it for \$150,000 GST would only be payable on the margin of \$50,000.

This will limit the use of the margin scheme where the developer purchased the property from someone who was registered for GST but GST did not apply to the contract either because it was a non taxable supply or the supply of a going concern. In these cases the base figure for the margin scheme would not actually be the developer's purchase price but the purchase price of the owner before that. That is the developer would have to pay the GST on the profit made by the person selling them the property but strangest of all this would require the vendor to inform the purchaser of the price they originally paid for the property. This means that the margin ie the portion of the developers selling price that would be subject to GST, is the difference between the developer's selling price and the cost price of the property to the person the developer purchased the property from.

Renovating or Building and Living There Before Selling

I hear over and over again from people in the property market how they are building or renovating homes then living there to apply their main residence exemption before selling and moving onto the next project. I am usually asked how long do I have to live there to cover it with my main residence exemption. They are usually shocked with the answer that it does not matter how long you stay there you are never going to get your main residence exemption on the property.

TD 92/135 states that if a property is built or renovated with a profit making intention the main residence exemption cannot apply. This is because the main residence exemption only applies to profits that are subject to CGT and CGT only applies if normal income tax does not. In the case of building or renovation with a profit motive (rather than as a rental property or private home) the profit would be caught as normal income. Note there are also GST ramifications which are discussed further in this How not to be a Developer booklet.

The example given in the ruling is:

A builder constructs a spec home in which he and his family reside while construction proceeds on another spec home. Any profit on sale which gives rise to income is fully assessable to the builder even if a principal residence exemption is available for CGT purposes.

CGT Rollover Relief When Building a Strata Plan

If you build a duplex or block of units with a business partner you will effectively own all the properties together under the same legal title as you did the original land. This can create a CGT nightmare if you want to own your units individually.

For example you and a friend find a nice block of land that is far too expensive for either of you. But it is large enough to be approved by Council for a duplex development. So you agree to buy the land together build a duplex and then take one each. The trouble is once the duplex is built you will still technically own half of each other's unit just as you had owned half of the land and if you simply subdivided the land and changed the title to sole ownership you would create a CGT event in that you would be deemed to have sold each other at market value half of their unit. On the other hand if you split the duplex under a strata plan you would be entitled to use the rollover relief available under section 118-42 so that no CGT would be payable.

Section 118-42 does not discuss these particular circumstances in fact it is very basic, as follows:

If:

- d) You own land on which there is a building and
- e) You subdivide the building into stratum units and
- f) You transfer each unit to the entity who had the right to occupy it just before the subdivision

A capital gain or capital loss you make from transferring the unit is disregarded.

To your advantage is the fact PBR 17485 specifically discusses duplex and claims that section 118-42 can be used in these circumstances.

Note that PBRs are not binding on the ATO so if you want to be sure you should apply for your own ruling quoting PBR 17485

Budget GST Measure for Developers

The budget included a warning that GST law would be tightened to reduce the tax benefits of combining the going concern or non taxable supply exemptions with the margin scheme. This should not take affect until the new law receives royal accent, so there is a bit of time yet. But when it does become law it is important that developers realise if they purchase land from someone who is registered for GST but the sale is not subject to GST they need to find out the original price paid by the vendor because this will be the new purchaser's base for the purposes of the margin scheme.

For those not familiar with the workings of the margin scheme, it is intended to prevent the ATO from collecting tax on a profit that was exempt from GST in the hands of the previous owner. So if for example a developer purchased a property from someone not registered for GST for \$100,000 and then sold it for \$150,000 GST would only be payable on the margin of \$50,000.

This will limit the use of the margin scheme where the developer purchased the property from someone who was registered for GST but GST did not apply to the contract either because it was a non taxable supply or the supply of a going concern. In these cases the base figure for the margin scheme would not actually be the developer's purchase price but the purchase price of the owner before that. That is the developer would have to pay the GST on the profit made by the person selling them the property but strangest of all this would require the vendor to inform the purchaser of the price they originally paid for the property. This means that the margin ie the portion of the developers selling price that would be subject to GST, is the difference between the developer's selling price and the cost price of the property to the person the developer purchased the property from.

Best Question of the Property Expo

No it is not the easiest question but the one that made me think outside the square, a bit of a challenge. This one goes to the person who owned a property they intend to subdivide, keeping the current house intact on a smaller block.

The property is owned in the name of a trust to maximise the tax benefits when distributing the profits from the subdivision. The trouble is they have moved into the original house. As the property is in the name of the trust it cannot qualify for their main residence exemption and once the development is finished it will realise a considerable capital gain on the cost base left after apportioning the original cost base amongst all the subdivided blocks.

So the problem is how to transfer their main residence exemption to the original house now while it is still owned by the trust. A CGT event B1 is the answer. B1 states that if there is a contract between two parties that the property will eventually transfer to the occupant at some future date then the CGT event is deemed to have happened at the date of entering into that agreement so the purchaser's main residence exemption can be covering the property from the date of the agreement rather than the date of settlement, which will be much later when the blocks are subdivided.

Renting Out a House You Built to Sell

There has been much written about this in Newsflash and our How Not To Be A Developer booklet. Basically if you are registered for GST and build a house for resale but then change the purpose by renting the house out you have to pay back the input tax credits on the property. You see a property held for rental is input taxed so no GST credits are available on the cost of building it. If you have been claiming them because you intended to sell the property so will have to charge GST on the sale, then later change your mind or can't sell it. Then using it as a rental property will mean quite a large amount of GST has to be paid back.

Now I imagine you are starting to think that it is not as black and white as that. You may not have changed the purpose at all it is just logical to collect rent for the property while the market is slow. I imagine there were some developers caught between a rock and a hard place. They can't possibly afford to pay back the GST but could really benefit by receiving some rent to help meet the overdraft.

ID 2008/114 examines purpose beyond the current use and recognises a property can still be held for resale while it is rented. The following paragraph from the ID gives a clear guideline as to what would be considered holding a property for resale:

Determining whether or not new residential premises have been actively marketed for sale will require consideration of all the relevant facts and circumstances. Although no single factor by itself is conclusive, the active marketing of new residential premises for sale may encompass activities such as listing the property for sale with a real estate agent or agents, advertising the premises for sale in relevant publications or via Internet advertising websites for real property, arranging 'open for inspection' times and/or showing prospective buyers through the premises. In the case of stratum units, actual arm's length sales of some of the listed units would be further evidence of active marketing. Listing premises for sale at a price that is significantly above market value may be an indicator that the premises are not being actively marketed for sale.

ID 2008/114 is only an interpretive decision so you cannot hold the ATO to its content unless you are the applicant. Accordingly, if you want to use this interpretation to your advantage you should apply to the ATO for your own ruling quoting ID 2008/114 as a precedent.

By the way you do not have to pay the GST back immediately, even if you are caught. You are only required to consider this issue once a year, when preparing your BAS for 30th June. You do not even have to consider an adjustment to the GST at the first 30th June after the original input credit has been claimed it is not until a full 12 months after the first 30th June that an adjustment must be made. Now if the property has at anytime been used for a rental then some adjustment needs to be made. But it may only be minor. Certainly if the property has now become a rental and it does not meet the available for sale status discussed above then you need to pay back all the GST. On the other hand with a property still available for sale, you only need to pay back a small portion of the GST. This portion is calculated by adding the estimated rent you expect to receive to the expected sale price then look at what percentage the rent is of this. This is the percentage of the GST credits you have to pay back. Yes, very vague but each 30th June you will have to re work this calculation until you sell it (assuming it is sold within 5 years) so eventually the right amount will filter through.

Interestingly the ATO has recently issued a warning to property owners or developers who are registered for GST that their June BAS should have included an adjustment if they are now renting the property out. The warning goes on to encourage readers to fess up and correct their BAS now before they are found out in an audit were the penalty could be as much as 90% of the tax not paid.

GST and Vacant Land

The ATO issued an Addendum to GSTR 2003/3 pointing out that the exemption from GST on domestic properties that are not brand new does not apply to the sale of land cut off from such a property. Even if you are registered for GST you do not have to charge GST on the sale of a house if it is not the first time it has been sold as a residential property. Note if you undertake substantial renovations that affect every room in the house then the next sale of the house will be subject to GST because it is considered new again.

In order to qualify for the concession the house and land have to have been sold previously as a package. Vacant land can never qualify for the concession. This is the case even if there was once a house on the land or the block has been cut off from a house and land. Relocating a home onto land and selling it will still be considered the first sale of the house because it is the first time that piece of land and that house have been sold together.

If you buy a house and land, move the house to one side and subdivide the land GST is not applicable to the sale of the house side because that land and house have previously been sold together but of course GST will apply to the separate sale of the vacant land. On the other hand if you add land to an existing house and land package you will have to charge GST on the sale of that part of the land because that land had never been sold with that house before.

Note if you are not registered for GST and you are not selling the land as part of your actual business activity then even though the sale maybe for more than \$75,000 you are not required to register for GST. The \$75,000 threshold, at which you are required to register for GST, only includes turnover that is subject to GST from normal business operations, even domestic rents are not included in this threshold because they are not subject to GST.

For more detail go to our GST Booklet available under the freebies section of our website.

Demolishing a Rental Could Expose it to GST

Before you go picking up that sledge hammer thinking you will get more for your rental property as vacant land have a read of ID 2009/20 and ID 2009/19.

In these examples the owners of both these rental properties were registered for GST because they did some development and held some properties simply as rentals.

Subsection 9-30(4) of the GST Act states:

A supply is taken to be a supply that is input taxed if it is a supply of anything (other than new residential premises) that you have used solely in connection with your supplies that are input taxed but are not financial supplies.

Input taxed means you do not have to remit GST on the income you receive. One property had a demountable home on it which the owner sold off separately and the purchaser of the demountable home was required to remove the house at their own cost. In this case the ATO considered that the property had at all times been used solely as a domestic rental and was input taxed so the sale of the vacant land was not subject to GST.

In the other example the owners decided to demolish the property themselves. The ATO considered this to be using the property other than for input taxed supplies so GST applied to the sale of the vacant land.

Of course if they simply left the house on the land, GST would not apply either because it only applies to the first sale of residential property and then only if it has not been used as a rental for a continuous period of more than 5 years. Removing the house changed it from residential property to simply vacant land.

GST and Transferring Property to a Partnership

GSTR 2009/1 deals with the GST consequences of transferring a property into a business partnership or transferring it out. Examples of this would be a group of neighbours coming together to develop their blocks into units or a warehouse owner joining with others in a business venture that will use the warehouse as a place of business. Note it is not automatic that the property is transferred into the partnership. It is all up to the wording of the partnership agreement. Partners can own property used in the partnership without having to transfer it into a partnership. In fact at law a partnership cannot own property anyway and it would simply be held in trust for the partners by whoever is on the deed.

The trouble starts because GST unlike other laws considers a partnership to be a separate legal entity from its partners. This means that the transfer of property in or out of a partnership can be a supply that is subject to GST if the transferer is registered or required to be registered for GST. If the property was not used in an enterprise, ie. was your home or was solely used for input tax purposes such as a domestic rental then GST will not apply to the transfer to the partnership. Nor will it apply if the transferer is not registered for GST and the property is not considered part of the normal business turnover of the owner. So in most cases, the transfer to the partnership will not be subject to GST, so later when the partnership sells the property or units developed on the property the margin scheme can be used to calculate the GST payable. This will mean that GST will only apply to the difference between the market value at the time of the transfer to the partnership and the selling price by the partnership. Apportionment of the market value of the land over each unit would be necessary in the case of a unit development.

The owner of the warehouse may already be registered for GST because he or she is charging commercial rent. So if the warehouse is transferred to the partnership, GST will have to be charged but the margin scheme can be used on this end of the transaction if the warehouse owner did not receive an input credit when he or she purchased the warehouse. If the margin scheme is used then the partnership will not be entitled to claim the GST back as an input credit but can use the margin scheme when it sells the property. On the other hand if the margin scheme is not used when the partner transfers the property to the partnership, the partnership will have to remit 1/11th of the full selling price to the ATO as GST if it ever sells the warehouse as it will not qualify to use the margin scheme but it would have been entitled to claim the GST input credit on the transfer from the partner. The outcome is much better if the margin scheme is used all the way through as this means GST is never payable on the original cost of the property to the owner but if the warehouse is going to be held for a long time the partnership may prefer not to use the margin scheme so that it can get all the GST back on the transfer and worry about the GST on the sale if and when it happens.

Now if on the dissolution of the partnership a partner chooses to take the property back this is also a supply to which GST could apply at the market value.

Note to use the margin scheme there must be a written agreement between the parties before the transfer.

GST When You Sell a House You Built as a Rental

The following section references are provided to assist readers whose accountants are advising them that they must pay GST if they sell a rental property less than 5 years after they build it.

If you are not already registered for GST you are not required to do so just because you choose to sell a property you built with the intention of holding as a rental. Section 23-5 states that if the annual turnover of supplies you make in the normal course of your enterprise, exceed \$75,000 you must register for GST.

Section 185-25 excludes from the calculation of annual turnover the supply of a capital asset. Building the property for rental then selling, is the supply of a capital asset and not included in the annual turnover. Section 118-15 excludes from annual turnover input taxed supplies so any domestic rent received is not included in annual turnover.

Developers who hold to Rent

GSTR 2009/4, in a very timely fashion addresses the GST considerations when you build a property to sell but due to poor market conditions decide to rent it out for a while.

The problem is that during the construction period you would have claimed all the input credits back. If a property is used to produce domestic rental income then you are not entitled to claim the GST back on the costs of its construction.

Don't panic, you do not have to pay the GST back straight away and you may only have to pay back a very small portion if you continue to list it for sale while you are renting it out. Or intend only renting it for a short period of time and then putting it back on the market.

You do not have to worry about paying back any input credits until the first adjustment period which is 12 months after the first 30th June after you claimed the GST and you only have to look into invoices for more than \$1,000 GST exclusive.

Now if you have taken it off the market completely and decided to keep it as a rental for an indefinite period of time then you will have to pay back the GST. If, instead you are still holding it to sell or will at least put it back on the market in the near future then you can use the following formula to determine how much the GST you are entitled to keep.

Selling Price

Selling Price Plus Rent Received

So if the selling price is 950,000 and the rent received is \$50,000 then you are entitled to still keep 95/100ths or 95% of the GST so only 95% needs to be paid back. Note the selling price used has to be what you could sell it for in the current market.

If you are living in the property while you are waiting to sell it you can substitute market rent in the above formula. Adjustments do not need to be applied to costs associated solely with selling the property such as advertising it for sale.

Developers - When can a house go from being taxable on revenue account to qualifying for the CGT discount?

If you have built a house for resale at a profit but have now decided to keep it as a rental you maybe very interested in PBR 90780 which recognises that you can actually change the status of the house from trading stock to investment. This means that the profit on sale could qualify for the 50% CGT discount.

There are also GST consequences of this change of purpose. These were covered in Newsflash 191.

If you change the purpose of holding the property from sale to investment you are deemed to have sold it and then re purchased the property at its cost, thus transferring the profit across to a capital gain. Note you can elect to transfer the property at its market value instead. This may be necessary if it cost you more to build it than it is now worth. From that point onwards CGT will apply and the 50% discount if you have held the property for more than 12 months since you purchased the land.

PBR 90780 even gives you the option of, after changing it from trading stock to an investment, changing it back to trading stock by the same method. This will also mean you are entitled to some of the GST back but probably not all of it because some of the adjustment periods may have passed.

Another interesting point that stems from this PBR is that the tenant is actually a director of the company that owns the property. The ruling points out that while the interest etc will be tax deductible it will only be up to the amount of rent received. Any remaining amount is to be included in the cost base for CGT purposes. In other words interposing the company will not allow the director to negatively gear his or her own home.

Caution here, this is a private ruling only binding for the person who applied and once again the ATO has answered a particular question but not addressed the possibility of whether they would apply Part IVA (scheme with the dominant purpose of a tax benefit).

GST When You Sell a House You Built as a Rental

The following section references are provided to assist readers whose accountants are advising them that they must pay GST if they sell a rental property less than 5 years after they build it.

If you are not already registered for GST you are not required to do so just because you choose to sell a property you built with the intention of holding as a rental. Section 23-5 states that if the annual turnover of supplies you make in the normal course of your enterprise, exceed \$75,000 you must register for GST. Section 185-25 excludes from the calculation of annual turnover the supply of a capital asset. Building the property for rental then selling, is the supply of a capital asset and not included in the annual turnover. Section 118-15 excludes from annual turnover input taxed supplies so any domestic rent received is not included in annual turnover.

Saving Tax on Your Investment Property – The Book

“Every investment property tax-related question you’ve ever wondered about is answered here and – perhaps more importantly – the ones you didn’t think to ask but should have! For property investors who want to refine their strategy for maximum gain, this resourceful handbook will make a great constant companion.” Eynas Brodie, Editor, Australian Property Investor magazine.

Combining Noel Whittaker’s easy reading style with Julia Hartman’s mind numbing attention to detail was a major challenge which ran way over schedule but it is finished, printed, and in the book stores. You can also purchase it online by going to: www.bantacs.com.au/property.php. The cost is \$29.95 plus \$5.95 postage – tax deductible of course!

Ask BAN TACS

For \$39.95 you can have your questions regarding Capital Gains Tax, Rental Properties and Work Related Expenses answered. For your Accountant, we will include ATO references to support our conclusion. Just go to www.bantacs.com.au and look for the Ask Bantacs link under ‘Most Popular’ on the home page.

Back Issues & Booklets

To obtain free back issues of the fortnightly BAN TACS Newsflash or any of the following booklets visit our web site at www.bantacs.com.au/publications.php. You can also subscribe to our Newsflash reminder.

Alienation of Personal Services Income
Claim Your Trip Around Australia
Defence Forces [Military]
How Not To Be A Developer
Fringe Benefits Tax
Goods and Services Tax
Key Performance Indicators
Overseas Backpacker Fruit Pickers
Secret Plans and Clever Tricks
Self Managed Superannuation Funds

Buying a Business
Claimable Loans
Death and Taxes
Divorce
Investors
Overseas
Real Estate Agent
Selling a Business
Subcontractors
Wage Earners

Capital Gains Tax
Claiming a Motor Vehicle
Division 35
FBT for PBIs
Miners
Professional Practices
Rental Properties
Small Business
Teachers
Year End Tax Strategies

Disclaimer: Please note in many cases the legislation referred to above has only just passed through parliament. The full effect is not clear yet but it is already necessary to make you aware of the ramifications despite the limited commentary available. On the other side of the coin by the time you read this information it may be out of date. The information is presented in summary form and intended only to draw your attention to issues you should further discuss with your accountant. Please do not act on this information without further consultation. We disclaim any responsibility for actions taken on the above without further advice as to your particular circumstances.